

**R E M A R K S**

This paper is responsive to an Official Action that issued in this case on November 19, 2004. In the Action, the Office restricted the application to one of the following inventions: Group A (apparatus claims 1-26) and Group B (method claims 27-33). Furthermore, the Office found that the claims of Group A must be further restricted to one of two sub-groups: Subgroup I (apparatus claims 1-6, 25 and 26) and Subgroup II (apparatus claims 7-24).

Responsive to the Action, applicant elects claims 7-24. Applicant hereby cancels claims 1-6 and 25-33 without prejudice.

Respectfully,  
DeMont & Breyer, LLC

By Wayne S. Breyer  
Wayne S. Breyer  
Reg. No. 38089  
Attorney for Applicants  
732-578-0103 x12

Date 24 Nov 2004

DeMont & Breyer, L.L.C.  
Suite 250  
100 Commons Way  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
United States of America